

Fiscal Year 2022

DISTRICT ONE PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE (DOPWIC) STATE CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS APPLICANT MANUAL



About the Applicant Manual:

The information in this document relates directly to the District One Public Works Integrating Committee Evaluation Methodology approved for Fiscal Year 2022 (Round 35). The following information outlines the guidelines, Ohio Revised Code requirements, process and evaluation criteria that will be used to determine project recommendations in Program Year 2021. This manual should be used when completing applications for the State Capital Improvement Program and Local Transportation Improvement Program funds.

Contents

- INFORMATION SECTION 1 - GENERAL PROGRAM 1
 - DISTRICT ONE PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE 1
- FY 2022 APPLICATION GUIDELINES..... 2
 - FUNDING AND ELIGIBILITY 3
 - APPLICATION GUIDELINES..... 4
 - ALLOCATIONS..... 5
 - FISCAL YEAR 2022 SCHEDULE..... 6
- PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS..... 7
 - PHASE 1- PROJECT EVALUATION..... 7
 - PHASE 2 - PROJECT SELECTION 8
 - PHASE 3 – FINAL PROJECT SELECTION 9
 - PHASE 4 - SMALL GOVERNMENTS PROJECT SELECTION 10
- APPLICATION SUPPLEMENT INSTRUCTIONS..... 11
 - PRIMARY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT..... 11
 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION..... 11
 - USERS 12
 - INFRASTRUCTURE AGE..... 13
 - INFRASTRUCTURE CONDITION..... 14
 - HEALTH AND SAFETY..... 15
 - LOCAL MATCH..... 18
 - LOAN INCENTIVE..... 19
 - ACCESS TO FUNDS..... 19
 - COMMUNITY OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BENEFIT 19
 - REGIONAL COLLABORATION 20
 - ECONOMIC HEALTH 20
 - COORDINATED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS..... 21
 - GENERATION OF USER FEES..... 21
- EVALUATION POINTS 22
- SMALL GOVERNMENT EVALUATION CRITERIA..... 33

INFORMATION SECTION 1 - GENERAL PROGRAM

The Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) provides funding for capital improvement (infrastructure) projects through the State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP); Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP); and the Revolving Loan Program (RLP). The following types of infrastructure are eligible for SCIP Funding:

1. Roads
2. Bridges/Culverts
3. Sanitary Sewers
4. Septic Systems
5. Stormwater
6. Wastewater
7. Water Supply

For purposes of allocating the funds, the state's eighty-eight (88) counties have been organized into nineteen (19) district integrating committees, consisting of public and private officials; to oversee implementation of the program in each district. The district integrating committees are tasked with evaluating applications submitted by local communities and selecting the projects that will be recommended to the OPWC. District integrating committees are prohibited from allocating funding on a per capita basis.

Cuyahoga County is District One and is overseen by the District One Public Works Integrating Committee (DOPWIC). As per the Ohio Revised Code (ORC) 164.04, The district committee consists of seven (7) members appointed as follows:

DISTRICT ONE PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

Ms. Debra Berry, P.E.
Private Sector Representative

Ms. Valerie McCall
City of Cleveland

Mr. Michael Dever
Cuyahoga County

Honorable Bradley Sellers
Mayor, City of Warrensville Heights

Honorable Paul Koomar
Mayor, City of Bay Village

Mr. Matthew Spronz, P.E.
City of Cleveland

Mr. David Marquard, P.E., P.S.
Cuyahoga County

FY 2022 APPLICATION GUIDELINES

APPLICATION DUE DATE

To be considered for financial assistance, applications must be submitted by Dropbox:

4:30 p.m., Thursday, November 5, 2020

District One Public Works Integrating Committee
c/o Cuyahoga County Planning Commission
aball@cuyahogacounty.us

CONTACTS

District One Public Works Integrating Committee:

Ms. Alison Ball, Planner
Cuyahoga County Planning Commission
(216) 443-3727 aball@cuyahogacounty.us

Ohio Public Works Commission:

Ms. Jennifer Kline, OPWC Representative
(614) 752-8118 jennifer.kline@pwc.ohio.gov

FUNDING AND ELIGIBILITY

The State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) and the Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) assist local communities in financing local public infrastructure improvements. All applicants are required to fill out the OPWC Application and DOPWIC Supplement to be considered for the following funds

- SCIP is a grant/loan program for roads, bridges, water supply, wastewater treatment, storm water collection, and solid waste disposal.
 - SCIP Grant funds cannot exceed 90% of the total cost of the project. SCIP loan funds will be credited as the jurisdiction's local match, as long as it is 10% or more of the total project cost.
 - SCIP Loan Funds must account for at least 10% of the total District One SCIP allocation. All money lent through as SCIP Loans will be repaid to OPWC, for District One.
 - Revolving Loan Program (RLP) uses funds from previous loans, repaid by jurisdictions in District One. All money lent through the RLP will be repaid to OPWC, for District One. This money is in turn reused by District 1 as future RLP loans.

SCIP loans and RLP Loans will not be combined in District One, thus funding requests for loans should not exceed the allocation for SCIP Loans or RLP.

- The Loan Assistance Program (LAP) is a grant that pays for the interest on a public or private loan during the construction period. Construction must have commenced within three years prior to the date of the project agreement. **Applications will be evaluated on the pre-construction merits of the infrastructure project.**
 - The Credit Enhancement Program offers a one-time infusion of funds to enhance an applicant's ability to secure affordable debt. The OPWC may pay the premium for a bond insurance policy which would improve the applicant's credit or bond rating. **Applications will be evaluated on the pre-construction merits of the infrastructure project.**
- LTIP is a grant program for roads and bridges only.

All OPWC funded work must be on infrastructure owned and maintained by the local subdivision. Work not on public property or right of way, including water and sewer laterals, are ineligible for OPWC assistance. However, laterals are permitted if an easement is in place.

APPLICATION GUIDELINES

The DOPWIC evaluation process utilizes the OPWC Application in conjunction with the DOPWIC Application Supplement and required supporting documentation. Applicants must include the required supporting documentation in appropriate attachments (numbers 3 through 9 below) separated by a cover page. The application materials must be submitted using the following organization and naming convention:

1. OPWC Application;
2. DOPWIC Application Supplement;
3. Authorizations and Resolutions of Support;
4. Agreements and Letters of Support;
5. Engineer's Estimates, Certifications, Plan Status, and Plans;
6. Supporting Documentation and Data for Road, Bridges, and Culverts;
7. Supporting Documentation and Data for Sewer, Septic, Water, Wastewater;
8. Maps and Photos; and
9. Small Government*

All supporting documentation must be submitted with the application. All documentation must be current and may not exceed five (5) prior years (2014-2019).

The required application materials must be submitted electronically via Dropbox.

It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that all the documents are accurate, complete and in accordance with the requirements, terms, and conditions set down by the OPWC and the DOPWIC. Failure to meet these conditions will result in the disqualification of a project.

INCOMPLETE OR LATE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE EVALUATED.

The instructions, application forms and required attachments can be downloaded from the following websites:

- OPWC at <http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Application.html>; or the
- Cuyahoga County Planning Commission (County Planning) website: <http://www.countyplanning.us/services/grant-programs/state-capital-improvement-program/>

***SMALL GOVERNMENT EVALUATION INFORMATION** – Small Government applicants must submit additional information (see Page 33).

ALLOCATIONS

The FY 2022 allocations for District One are shown below.

Table 1

PROGRAM FUNDING	FY 2022
State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP)	
Grant	\$16,689,200
Loan*	\$1,859,300
SCIP TOTAL	\$18,548,500
Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP)	\$6,304, 500
Revolving Loan Program (RLP)	\$3,359,000
TOTAL	\$28,212,000

REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT VS. NEW OR EXPANDED PROJECTS – For SCIP funding, a project must be reviewed with regard to whether it is a repair or replacement of an existing infrastructure facility, an expansion of an existing infrastructure facility, or a new infrastructure facility. SCIP funds will cover up to 90% of a project’s total cost if it is a repair or replacement project. New and expansion projects will be funded up to 50% of the total project’s cost.

GRANT AND LOAN REQUIREMENTS – As per ORC 164.08, 10% of the district’s SCIP allocation must be awarded as loans or local debt support. The loans are interest-free, and the term is based on the useful life of the infrastructure, but not to exceed 30 years. In order to meet the SCIP grant/loan requirements, the DOPWIC incorporates scoring incentives in the Loan Incentive criterion (see page 19).

FISCAL YEAR 2022 SCHEDULE

The DOPWIC schedule for evaluating and selecting projects for recommendation in FY 2022 is outlined in Table 2.

Table 2

ACTIVITY	DATE*
Application Materials Available	Tuesday, September 1, 2020
Application Workshops	Wednesday, September 9, 2020 Thursday, September 10, 2020
FY 2022 Application Submittal Deadline	Thursday, November 5, 2020
Preliminary Project Ranking Released	Friday, January 15, 2021
Project Appeals Due	Friday, January 29, 2021
DOPWIC Meeting to Select Projects	Tuesday, February 23, 2021
OPWC Deadline to Submit Recommendations	Wednesday, March 31, 2021
PY 2019 Awards Released by OPWC	Thursday, July 1, 2021

*** All dates are subject to change.**

PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS

The DOPWIC is mandated to give priority to projects that:

- Repair or replace existing infrastructure; and
- Would not be undertaken without assistance.

PHASE 1- PROJECT EVALUATION

The DOPWIC uses the process outlined below to select projects for recommendation:

- 1.1 Eligibility Review** Applications will be reviewed by the DOPWIC staff for compliance with the FY 2022 policies. Staff will also verify that all financial information is accurate and correct. The financial information that appears on the OPWC application must match the certified engineer's estimate, the financial officer's certification or loan repayment letter.

Any project that does not comply with these policies will be deemed ineligible and returned to the applicant pursuant to the DOPWIC Right of Return Policy as outlined in the DOPWIC State Capital Infrastructure Programs FY 2022 Policy Manual.

- 1.2 Preliminary Project Evaluation** Using the DOPWIC Project Evaluation Criteria, outlined in the Application Supplement Instructions. The DOPWIC staff will evaluate all the FY 2022 projects and determine a score in each criterion for every project (See page 22).

Each applicant will be given five (5) business days to respond to a request for additional information regarding the submitted items. If the applicant does not respond, the project will be scored using the original information.

DOPWIC staff may confer with a variety of entities, including, but not limited to, the City of Cleveland Water Department, Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, and the Cuyahoga County Board of Health.

- 1.3 Preliminary Project Rankings** The evaluated projects will be ranked based on their individual Total Project Score and the DOPWIC staff will recommend primary projects, not to exceed the amount of FY 2022 funds available.

- 1.4 Tie-Breaking** If there is a tie between two or more projects at the cut-off line of the Preliminary Project Rankings and the project Applicant is from one (1) jurisdiction, that jurisdiction will determine the ranking of the projects. If there is a tie between

two or more projects at the funding cut-off line and the projects have different Applicants, the tie will be broken based on the scores received by the projects in the following categories, listed in priority order:

1. Health and Safety,
2. Infrastructure Condition,
3. Economic Health, and
4. Access to Funds.

1.5 OPWC Mandated Requirements The DOPWIC staff will ensure that the Preliminary Project Rankings meet the mandated allocation requirements.

PHASE 2 - PROJECT SELECTION

2.1 Preliminary Project Rankings (Staff Recommendations) The projects contained in the Preliminary Project Rankings will be presented to the DOPWIC for further evaluation and review. The staff recommendations will be submitted as:

- **SCIP/LTIP Primary Recommendations** – The top-ranked projects with requests that equal, but do not exceed, the FY 2022 available funds.
- **Small Government Primary Recommendations** - The seven (7) top-ranked projects. (See Small Government Project Selection (see page 10).

The Preliminary Project Rankings will be posted on the County Planning website: <http://www.countyplanning.us/services/grant-programs/state-capital-improvement-program/>.

2.2 Applicant Appeals An Applicant can request the DOPWIC to reevaluate the score received in the Preliminary Project Rankings. Information that was not part of the original application will not be accepted or considered during the appeal process.

To appeal a project score, an Applicant must submit an appeal via email to the Cuyahoga County Planning Commission **no later than 4:30 p.m. on Friday, January 29, 2021**. Appeals must specify the criteria that the Applicant considers incorrectly evaluated and the score requested. The Appeal must be accompanied by an explanation of why the awarded points appear incorrect and why the project should be awarded the requested score. Late appeals will not be accepted

The Appeals received will be posted on the DOPWIC website. The DOPWIC Staff will review the Appeals and determine if any corrective action is recommended.

The DOPWIC will address the Appeals and Staff Recommendations at the Project Selection Meeting on **February 23, 2021**. An affirmative vote of five (5) DOPWIC members is needed to approve an appeal.

PHASE 3 – FINAL PROJECT SELECTION

- 3.1 Final Project Rankings** The DOPWIC will hold the Project Selection Meeting to review the Preliminary Project Rankings and consider the Appeals and any comments brought forth at the meeting.
- 3.2 DOPWIC Approval** The DOPWIC will approve and adopt the ***FY 2022 Final Project Rankings*** for SCIP and LTIP funding. The rankings will be posted on the County Planning website. The projects contained in the Final Project Rankings will be submitted as:
- **Primary Recommendations** – the top-ranked projects with requests that do not exceed the available FY 2022 funds.
 - **Secondary Recommendations (Contingency Projects)** – projects that could be funded should additional monies become available.
- 3.3 Allocation of OPWC Funding** The DOPWIC staff will submit the ***FY 2022 Final Project Rankings*** and recommend that OPWC allocate the funding to maximize the SCIP and LTIP monies to the top ranked eligible projects.
- 3.4 FY 2022 Recommendations** the DOPWIC will submit the FY 2022 Recommendations to the OPWC, by the deadline of March 31, 2021.
- 3.5 OPWC Review and Approval** OPWC will review the DOPWIC’s Final Project Rankings. Applicants whose projects are approved will be first notified by a pre-approval letter and will then receive an OPWC Project Grant Agreement on or about July 1, 2021.

PHASE 4 - SMALL GOVERNMENTS PROJECT SELECTION

- 4.1 Project Evaluation** Projects from villages and rural townships with a U.S. Census 2010 population under 5,000 that are not recommended by the DOPWIC for funding may be forwarded to the District One Small Government Subcommittee.
- 4.2 Small Government Preliminary Project Rankings** All Small Government projects will also be evaluated and ranked using the OPWC Small Government Criteria (see page 33). The projects included in the Small Government Preliminary Project Rankings will be released along with the SCIP/LTIP Preliminary Project Rankings.
- 4.3 Tie-Breaking** If there is a tie between two or more projects, the tie will be broken based on the scores received by the projects in the following categories, listed in priority order:
1. Health and Safety
 2. Infrastructure Condition
 3. Economic Health
 4. Access to Funds
- 4.4 Small Government Scoring and Recommendations** The DOPWIC Small Government Subcommittee will hold a separate meeting directly following the Project Selection Meeting to review the Preliminary Small Government rankings and prioritize the projects.
- The seven (7) top-ranked projects will be submitted to the OPWC Small Government Commission.
- 4.5 Small Government Project Selection** Successful Applicants will be notified by the Small Government Administrator regarding the cure period. The OPWC Small Government Commission staff will evaluate and rank the Small Government projects statewide and notify the applicants of a draft composite score prior to the Small Government Commission voting meeting on May 27, 2021.

APPLICATION SUPPLEMENT INSTRUCTIONS

PRIMARY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT

The project applications will be reviewed based on the assigned Primary Infrastructure Project. If there are coordinated infrastructure components to the project, such as replacement of the sanitary and storm sewers that will also require a road rehabilitation, the Applicant must determine the primary infrastructure component based on the reason for the project.

***Note:** This criterion should not be confused with **Project Type** identified in the OPWC application, which is based on highest financial component.

Applicants must submit the required DOPWIC Application Supplement based on the Primary Infrastructure Project. If the primary infrastructure project is a Road, Bridge, or Culvert project **complete the Road, Bridge, Culvert Application Supplement**. If the primary infrastructure project is a Water Supply, Wastewater, or Stormwater project **complete the Sewer, Septic, Wastewater, Water Application Supplement**.

Indicate the Primary Infrastructure Project, then check all additional infrastructure components to be repaired (See Table 3).

Table 3

Roads, Bridge, or Culvert	Sewer, Septic, Wastewater, Water
Road	Water Supply
Bridge/Culvert	Wastewater
	Solid Waste
	Stormwater

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Name: Enter the project name as listed in Applicant Information on the OPWC Application

Project Location(s) and Limits: Provide the roadway and the termini and include a location map highlighting the project area in the Maps and Photos attachment. Projects that include multiple project locations will be assessed based on the weighted average of the project components.

Multi-Jurisdictional Projects: Please list all the municipalities participating in the project and their percentage of the project by cost.

Existing Condition: Describe the existing infrastructure that is proposed to be modified and the reason the infrastructure requires changes. Applicants should include any other factors relevant to a particular project.

Proposed Changes:

ORC 164.06(B)(1), ORC 164.06(B)(10),164.I4(E)(7) and 164.I4(E)(10)

Explain how the improvement meets the repair and replacement needs of District One. Explain changes to the amount of right-of-way, the number or width of lanes, traffic control devices, street lighting, type or size of drainage, type or size of potable water services, or type or size of sanitary sewer service. Describe how the proposed infrastructure changes will eliminate the problems caused by the existing condition.

Project Plan Status:

ORC 164.06(B)(9) and 164.I4(E)(5)

All applicants must provide an Engineer's Plan Status Certification. The Status of Plans will be evaluated for readiness to proceed according to items completed by the engineer's certification (signature) date. The Engineer's Plan Status Certification available is now included in the Application Supplement on page 4. No other documentation will be accepted or is necessary.

Two Year Maintenance of Effort Report:

ORC 164.14(E)(6)

For Road and Bridge/Culvert projects only, provide information regarding road and bridge projects completed by the applicant for the period 2018 through 2020.

USERS

ORC 164.06(B)(9), ORC 164.06(B)(10), and ORC 164.I4(E)(7)

This criterion focuses on the number of people that will directly benefit from the improvement. What is the impact on the households, businesses, and/or the environment? Projects that affect a larger area of the district and/or more citizens will receive more points.

- **Road, Bridge, and Culvert Projects:**

The ADT will be used to determine the direct users. Staff will multiply the ADT for a 24-hour period by 1.2 occupants, a standard conversion factor.

Provide either a computer-generated or a manual count, of the current Average Daily Traffic (ADT)* in the supporting documentation. The count must have the official seal and signature of a certified Professional Engineer unless the report has been generated

by the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Cuyahoga County Department of Public Works, or Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency (NOACA).

**The ADT for a road rehabilitation project must be for the specified leg or segment. The ADT for intersection projects must be for the road intersection. If the structure is closed or posted, points will be awarded based on the documented traffic count prior to the restriction.*

- **Water Supply, Wastewater, Stormwater Projects:**

The household data will be used to determine the direct users. Staff will multiply the number of households by 2.29, the U.S. Census 2010 average household size for Cuyahoga County

For projects located in multi-use areas (office, industrial, educational, commercial) provide the number of employees and/or students in the service area. If a residential area is located in the area, separately provide the number of households.

Indirect users will be considered only if the applicant demonstrates that the sewer or waterline being repaired benefits a larger area than the residents on the street (i.e. the sewer or waterline is part of the community's overall distribution system). Maps and/or drawings must be provided clearly identifying/delineating the indirect users.

INFRASTRUCTURE AGE

ORC 164.06(B)(2)

Depending on the infrastructure and related life expectancy, points will be determined for the Primary Infrastructure Project based on the original construction year or the year of the last major improvement (see page 23).

The distinction between Minor and Major Improvements are defined as follows:

- **Road, Bridge, and Culvert Projects:**

- **Roads** – Minor Improvements consist of crack sealing, shoot and chip, ditch cleaning, shoulder repair, sidewalk or driveway apron replacement, signalization, minor base repair, curb and gutter replacement, or minor resurfacing, such as an asphalt overlay. Any road improvement that replaces three (3) inches or more of surface or complete base and surface reconstruction will be considered a Major Improvement.
- **Bridges and Culverts** – Minor Improvements are minor repairs to any structure to prolong the present life of the structure until a major rehabilitation or replacement can be completed. Any type of structural

rehabilitation, replacement or deck replacement to increase the useful life or design capacity of the structure shall be considered a Major Improvement.

- **Water Supply, Wastewater, Stormwater Projects:**
 - **Sanitary Sewer System** – Cleaning of sewers, such as flushing of lines by sewer jets, or other minor repairs shall be considered Minor Improvements. Any other improvement to sanitary sewers to reduce or eliminate water quality impairments shall be considered a Major Improvement.
 - **Stormwater System** – Cleaning of sewers, such as flushing of lines by sewer jets, or other minor repairs shall be considered Minor Improvements. Any separation from sanitary sewer systems, as well as replacement due to deterioration and/or inadequate capacity shall be considered a Major Improvement.
 - **Wastewater or Water Treatment Systems** – Preventative maintenance projects and building repairs, such as masonry, grout, painting, or cleaning, that do not repair or improve treatment systems shall be considered Minor Improvements. Improvements to wastewater treatment systems, such as structural repair/rehabilitation to expand capacity or upgrade treatment systems and levels shall be considered a Major Improvement.
 - **Water Mains and Laterals** – Repair of leaks in the supply system, repairs to house connections or the addition of house connections to the system shall be considered Minor Improvements. Any cleaning and relining, enlargement (by pipe size or expansion of the distribution system) or replacement to the supply system shall be considered a Major Improvement.

INFRASTRUCTURE CONDITION

ORC 164.06(B)(2) and 164.14(E)(9)

The condition is based on the amount of deterioration within the defined project limits, that is documented by the applicant, and field verified by the staff.

Condition is rated exclusive of capacity, serviceability, and health and safety issues. For purposes of the application, the applicant must provide an assessment of the infrastructure components to be repaired or replaced according to the definitions below. Engineer's estimates

will be considered in evaluating the repair and/or replacement that is required to eliminate the current condition and meet current design standards (see page 24).

- **Road, Bridge, and Culvert Projects:** Describe the actual condition of the road or bridge/culvert. Include the Supporting Documentation must include a General Appraisal, Sufficiency Rating, and/or Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Report, certified by the applicant's engineer. Only PCRs submitted on the ODOT PCR form AND using the ODOT Rating system will be evaluated. Condition reports may be obtained from the ODOT- Transportation Information Mapping System: <https://gis.dot.state.oh.us/tims>.
- **Water Supply, Wastewater, Stormwater Projects:** Describe the actual condition of the pipes. Supporting Documentation should include reports that explain the condition such as: televised underground system reports, septic system assessments, or other similar reports. All documents provided must contain the source material and the name and contact information of the preparer.

HEALTH AND SAFETY

ORC 164.06(B)(4), 164.14(E)(1), and 164.14(E)(2)

If the infrastructure is believed to cause an unsafe or unhealthy situation, it is necessary to describe the settings. Points are awarded based on primary infrastructure project. The applicant should include the type, frequency, and severity of the health and/or safety problem(s) that will be eliminated or reduced by the project (see page 25).

Stating that the situation is unsafe or unhealthy without offering any supporting evidence or rationale is not sufficient. In all cases, specific documentation is required. Problems cited which are poorly documented will receive fewer points. Information should address the following:

The health and/or safety situation and its frequency and/or magnitude:

Describe the health and/or safety situation that is being caused by the current infrastructure. Describe the frequency and magnitude of the problem. All supporting documentation must be sourced and provide a hyperlink to the documentation. Furthermore, please describe how the proposed project will eliminate or reduce the public health or safety issue within the project limits. Examples provided below are not intended to be exclusive.

- **Road, Bridge, and Culvert Projects:**
Provide information and supporting documentation of the presence of a sharp curve, poor sight distance, structure closure, and/or Level of Service (LOS).

Are vehicular accidents attributable to the problems cited? Do they involve injuries or fatalities? Provide analysis of your project's crash rates and severity and

discuss the safety improvements that will be made with your project. Provide copies of all data and calculations to support your analysis results.

If crash fatalities have occurred within your analysis period, include copies of the fatal crash reports with your submitted documents.

Information and resources can be found at the following links.

Ohio Department of public safety:

<https://publicsafety.ohio.gov/wps/portal/gov/odps/what-we-do/crash-reports>

Ohio Department of Transportation:

- ODOT Highway Safety Improvement Program, Economic Crash Analysis Tool (ECAT):
<http://www.dot.state.oh.us/Divisions/Planning/ProgramManagement/HighwaySafety/HSIP/Pages/HSM-Implementation.aspx>
- ODOT's Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS) and ODOT's GIS Crash Analysis Tool (GCAT):
<https://gis.dot.state.oh.us/tims>
(New GCAT users will be asked to submit a request for access.)

Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse:

<http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org>

Obtain the most recent three-year crash history within your project area using TIMS. Review and verify that the data points returned in your crash history search are located within the project limits and are applicable to your project area. Analyze the data using GCAT. Using the results, provide the appropriate crash rate calculation(s) for your project:

Roadway Segment Crash Rate, Million Vehicle Miles Traveled (MVMT)

$$\text{CRASH RATE} = \frac{(\text{3 year crash total})}{(\text{3 years})(\text{ADT})(\text{365 days/year})(\text{section length})} \times 1,000,000$$

= crashes per million vehicle miles traveled

ADT = Average Daily Traffic

Please note: Do not include intersection crashes in the calculation of the segment crash rate.

Intersection Crash Rate, Crashes per Million Approach

$$\text{CRASH RATE} = \frac{(3 \text{ year crash total})}{(3 \text{ years})(\text{intersection approach volume/day})(365 \text{ days/year})} \times 1,000,000$$

= crashes per million approach vehicles

If access to or use of the above resources is not available/feasible, provide documentation of the existing safety deficiencies in the form of:

- a certified statement from a public safety official.
- a signed and stamped statement/report from a qualified consultant.

Include copies of all relevant source material, with name and contact information for the above sources.

- **Water Supply, Wastewater, Stormwater Projects:**

Provide evidence and documentation of combined sewer overflows or illicit discharges of contaminated water, non-point source pollution from sewer overflows or septic systems, breaks of sewer and/or waterlines, etc. Quantify infiltration and inflow (I/I) and/or combined or separate sewer overflows specific to the project area. The information provided by a public official or consultant qualified to make this assessment must be included. All documents must contain the source material and the name and contact information of the preparer.

- **Sanitary Sewer Systems, Stormwater Systems and Septic Systems:** The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (NEORS) staff and/or the Cuyahoga County Board of Health (CCBOH) will provide technical support in evaluating these project applications.

Please explain if the applicant is under orders from a court or regulatory agency, and summarize the order including the reasons for the orders and submit supporting documentation. Provide the following information specific to the project area:

- Indicate how often widespread flooding occurs, the volume of each event, and whether the designed storm year has been exceeded.
- Describe damages to land, structure and/or the environment.
- For combined sewer overflows reference the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District's (NEORS) Combined Sewer Program and number assigned to the overflow.

- For septic systems discharging into the public waterways, provide current (within the last year) documentation from CCBOH that indicates the level of contamination; and indicate how many illicit discharge systems will be eliminated.
 - Describe NPDES violations.
 - Attach or provide hyperlinks to results of any testing, monitoring, or modeling that verifies and quantifies the water quality and quantity issues in the project area, such as CCBOH Sampling Inspection Report, or CCBOH Septic Systems Evaluation.
- **Wastewater or Water Treatment Plants:** DOPWIC will prioritize projects that repair or improve treatment systems over maintenance projects, such as building repairs, masonry, grout, painting, or cleaning.

Please explain if the applicant is under orders from a court or regulatory agency, summarize the order including the reasons for the orders and submit supporting documentation.

- **Water Mains and Laterals:**
In the case of water mains and laterals, is the present capacity inadequate to provide volume or pressure for adequate fire protection?

The City of Cleveland’s Water Department (CWD) will provide technical support in evaluating the health and safety criteria on project applications. For Water main project applications please fill out the CWD Health and Safety form and include it in the Supporting Data for Sewer, Septic, Water, Wastewater attachment. The CWD will score each water main project in each of the following categories:

- Water Main Break Rate
- Recent Break Trend & Undermining
- Foreflow Deficit
- Water Quality
- Pavement Protection
- Beneficiaries & Transmission
- Lead Connections

The CWD will then tabulate a final health and safety score based on the criteria outlined above. The DOPWIC will review the supporting documentation.

LOCAL MATCH

ORC 164.06(B)(6), 164.06(B)(7), 164.14(E)(4), and 164.14(E)(6)

Projects will be awarded points in this category based on the total Non OPWC matching funds

provided, including ability and effort of local subdivisions to assist in financing as well as federal and state grants. Applicants must provide documentation (e.g., award letters) from the funding source(s) and/or certification that the Local Revenues are committed to this project.

Section 1.0 of the OPWC Application for Financial Assistance will be used to determine the Local Match (see page 25).

LOAN INCENTIVE

ORC 164.06(B)(5)

Points are awarded to applicants that request a loan as a percentage of the Total Estimated Cost.

Section 1.0 of the OPWC Application for Financial Assistance will be used to determine the Amount of Loan Requested (See page 26).

If District One uses up all the grant funds and have loan funds remaining, the DOPWIC will recommend a project for a loan in the amount requested as a grant. Indicate if you are willing to accept a loan.

ACCESS TO FUNDS

ORC 164.06(B)(10) and 164.14(E)(10)

To ensure an equitable distribution of the funds, Applicants that have not received assistance in recent Program Years will receive points (see page 26). All bridge projects are exempt from Access to Funds.

COMMUNITY OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BENEFIT

ORC 164.06(B)(10), 164.14(E)(3) and 164.06(E)(10)

The scoring for community and economic development will balance the need to support existing investments made in residential and commercial areas of communities with the need for continued economic growth. Points will be awarded in only one category based on the information provided by the applicant. Indicate whether the project is a Community Development Project or Economic Development Project (see page 28).

Community Development Projects are considered to be one that will develop unutilized or under-unutilized land and preserve and/or enhance a neighborhood or an existing commercial corridor.

Economic Development Projects are considered to be one that will develop unutilized or under-utilized land for a private development that will create jobs and increase the value of adjacent land.

Provide a brief statement detailing how the project will enhance community or economic development.

- Type of development: community or economic; new or existing commercial, residential, industrial, office or manufacturing.
- Project's stage of development: conceptual, right-of-way acquisition, design, site preparation or construction.
- Number of parcels, land acres or square feet to be redeveloped or developed. How many of the parcels are or were certified brownfields and the status of the cleanup?
- A site plan for development project.
- Indicate whether transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities are being retained or will be included in the development.
- Anticipated benefits: jobs (created or retained), taxes, etc.

REGIONAL COLLABORATION

ORC 164.06(B)(10) and 164.06(E)(10)

This criterion is based on the local share contributions by other subdivisions in District One. Points will be based on the information provided in the **Multi-Jurisdictional Projects** table in the application supplement. The points will be awarded only if the required cooperative agreements indicating a subdivision's financial participation are included in the application. The supporting documentation must be current and included in the application in order to receive points (see page 29).

ECONOMIC HEALTH

ORC 164.06(B)(8)

The most current Per Capita Income (PCI) and the percentage of households below poverty level from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate 2014-2018 will be used to calculate the Economic Health score (see page 29).

A project submitted by the Cuyahoga County Department of Public Works, on behalf of a County community, will be awarded the Economic Health Points based on the owner of the asset.

A Multi-Subdivision project score in Economic Health will be based on the percentage of the partnering communities, as provided in the Project Description section.

COORDINATED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

ORC 164.06(B)(10) and 164.06(E)(10)

In order to capitalize on infrastructure investments, the DOPWIC will award points for projects that coordinate road, bridge, and culvert improvements with water, stormwater, and/or wastewater infrastructure improvements.

Points will be based on the information provided in the Secondary Infrastructure Components indicated in the application supplement and verified in the Engineer's Estimate (see page 32)

Catch basin and manhole adjustments as well as underdrains are considered incidental to road projects and do not count toward coordinated infrastructure.

Repairs to a combined sewer is considered one type of infrastructure only and does not count toward coordinated infrastructure. However, separating a combined sewer into two separate systems will be awarded coordinated infrastructure points.

GENERATION OF USER FEES

ORC 164.06(B)(3)

The proposed project will be reviewed to see if revenue in the form of user fees is generated. It is determined that, by their very nature, utility projects and treatment plants do indeed generate user fees for general revenue. Examples of the types of revenue-generating facilities include:

- Wastewater Treatment Plants;
- Water Treatment Plants;
- Water transmission lines; and
- Sanitary Sewer interceptors.

The Project Type selected on page 1 of the OPWC application will determine the points (see page 32).

EVALUATION POINTS

The submitted projects are evaluated based upon the criteria set forth in Sections 164.06 and 164.14 of the Ohio Revised Code and as implemented by the DOPWIC. Each application will be reviewed, and scores will be assigned in the following twelve (12) criteria.

Table 4

METHODOLOGY CRITERIA AND EVALUATION POINTS			
CRITERIA	OHIO REVISED CODE	MAXIMUM POINTS	%
Users	164.06(B)(9) 164.06(B)(10) 164.14(E)(7)	10	5.00
Infrastructure Age	164.06(B)(2)	17	8.50
Infrastructure Condition	164.06(B)(2) 164.14(E)(9)	45	22.50
Health/Safety Need	164.06(B)(4) 164.14(E)(1) 164.14(E)(2)	55	27.50
Local Match <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other Project Funding • Subdivision Financial Participation 	164.06(B)(6) 164.06(B)(7) 164.14(E)(4)	20	10.00
Loan Incentive	164.06(B)(5)	10	5.00
Access to Funds	164.06(B)(10) 164.14(E)(10)	4	2.00
Community and Economic Development	164.06(B)(10) 164.14(E)(3) 164.06(E)(10)	3	1.50
Regional Collaboration	164.06(B)(10) 164.06(E)(10)	2	1.00
Economic Health	164.06(B)(8)	25	12.50
Coordinated Infrastructure Projects	164.06(B)(10) 164.06(E)(10)	4	2.00
Generation of User Fees	164.06(B)(3)	5	2.50
Total Project Scoring		200	100

The following tables are used as a guide for the evaluation of project applications. The scores for Infrastructure Condition and Health and Safety Needs are based on the Primary Infrastructure Project identified in the DOPWIC Application Supplement.

USERS – MAXIMUM 10 POINTS

Table 5

POINTS	USERS		
	WATER SUPPLY, WASTEWATER, AND STORMWATER	WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS	ROAD, BRIDGE, AND CULVERT
10	> 300	> 25,000	> 20,000
8	200 - 300	15,000-24,999	15,000 to 20,000
6	100 - 199	2,000 – 14,999	10,000 to 14,999
4	50 - 99	1,000 – 1,999	5,000 to 9,999
2	< 50	< 1,000	< 5,000

INFRASTRUCTURE AGE – MAXIMUM 17 POINTS

Table 6

PROJECT TYPE	LIFE	POINTS				
		17	14	11	8	5
Bridge	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Road	20	≤ 2001	2002-06	2007-11	2011-15	2016-19
Sanitary Sewer	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Septic System	20	≤ 2001	2002-06	2007-11	2011-15	2016-19
Solid Waste	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Storm Water	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Wastewater Treatment	30	≤ 1991	1992-96	1997-01	2002-07	2008-13
Water and Sewer Laterals	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Water Supply	50	≤1971	1972-81	1982-91	1992-01	2002-11
Water Treatment	30	≤ 1991	1992-96	1997-01	2002-07	2008-13

INFRASTRUCTURE CONDITION – MAXIMUM 45 POINTS

Table 7

CONDITION RATING	DESCRIPTION	POINTS
Closed or Not Operating	The condition is unusable, or dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning and requires replacement.	45
Imminent Failure	The components of the infrastructure are causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity and imminent failure is anticipated. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the imminent condition and meet current design standards.	40
Critical	The condition is causing or contributing to a non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at diminished capacity. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards.	35
Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiencies and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	30
Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.	20
Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended. Routine maintenance and periodic repairs required to maintain integrity.	10
New	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.	0

HEALTH AND SAFETY – MAXIMUM 55 POINTS

DOPWIC staff will assign points based on how well the applicant demonstrates the frequency and/or magnitude of the Health and Safety and if the applicant demonstrates how the project will reduce or eliminate the risk factors.

Table 8

HEALTH AND SAFETY	POINTS
Continuous Problem with Severe Factors - Ongoing documented health and safety problems with multiple critical factors, or the nature of the problem warrants additional consideration and the project will greatly reduce or eliminate the health and safety risks.	55
Continuous Problem – Ongoing documented health and safety problems, and the project will greatly reduce or eliminate the health and safety risks.	50
Intermittent Problem with Severe Factors- Intermittent documented health and safety problems with critical factors, or the nature of the problem warrants additional consideration, and/or the project will improve the infrastructure condition but does not reduce or eliminate the health and safety risks.	45
Intermittent Problem – Intermittent documented health and safety problems, and/or the project will improve the infrastructure condition but does not reduce or eliminate the health and safety risks.	35
Minor/Potential Problem – Minor or potential documented health and safety problems.	20
Preventive Measures - Insufficient documentation provided or the project has been submitted to avoid a potential problem	10
Application does not indicate a health or safety problem.	0

LOCAL MATCH – MAXIMUM 20 POINTS

Section 1.0 of the OPWC Application for Financial Assistance will be used to determine points for Local Match.

$$\text{Points} = \left(\frac{\text{Sum of Lines A through H in Section 1.2}}{\text{Line G in Section (1.1)}} \right) \times 20$$

LOAN INCENTIVE – MAXIMUM 10 POINTS

Section 1.0 of the OPWC Application for Financial Assistance will be used to determine points for Loan Incentive

$$\text{Points} = \left(\frac{\text{Sum of Line K in Section 1.2}}{\text{Line G in Section 1.1}} \right) \times 10$$

ACCESS TO FUNDS* – MAXIMUM 4 POINTS

Table 9

YEARS WITH NO FUNDING	LAST PY YEAR FUNDED	POINTS
≥ 7 Years	FY 2015 or Before	4
5 – 6 Years	FY 2016 – FY 2017	3
3 – 4 Years	FY 2018 – FY 2019	2
1-2 Years	FY 2020 - FY 2021	0

* All Bridge Projects are exempt and will receive four points.

Table 10

APPLICANT	LAST FY YEAR	YEARS WITH NO FUNDING	POINTS
Bay Village	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
Beachwood	FY 1992	≥ 7 Years	4
Bedford	FY 2012	≥ 7 Years	4
Bedford Heights	FY 2017	5-6 Years	3
Bentleyville	FY 2012	≥ 7 Years	4
Berea	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Bratenahl	FY 2002	≥ 7 Years	4
Brecksville	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Broadview Heights	FY 2020	≤ 2 Years	0
Brook Park	FY 2019	3-4 Years	2
Brooklyn	FY 2001	≥ 7 Years	4
Brooklyn Heights	FY 2011	≥ 7 Years	4
Chagrin Falls Township	Never Applied	≥ 7 Years	4
Chagrin Falls Village	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
Cleveland	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0

APPLICANT	LAST PY YEAR	YEARS WITH NO FUNDING	POINTS
Cleveland Heights	FY 2019	3-4 Years	2
Cuyahoga County Department of Public	FY2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Cuyahoga Heights	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
East Cleveland	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Euclid	FY 2020	≤ 2 Years	0
Fairview Park	FY 2018	3-4 Years	2
Garfield Heights	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Gates Mills	FY 2010	≥ 7 Years	4
Glenwillow	FY 2017	5 - 6 Years	3
Highland Heights	FY 2016	5 - 6 Years	3
Highland Hills	FY 2009	≥ 7 Years	4
Hunting Valley	FY 2012	≥ 7 Years	4
Independence	FY 2014	≥ 7 Years	4
Lakewood	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Linndale	Never Applied	≥ 7 Years	4
Lyndhurst	FY 2012	≥ 7 Years	4
Maple Heights	FY 2019	3 - 4 Years	2
Mayfield Village	FY 2015	≥ 7 Years	4
Mayfield Heights	FY 2007	≥ 7 Years	4
Middleburg Heights	FY 2020	≤ 2 Years	0
Moreland Hills	FY 2010	≥ 7 Years	4
Newburgh Heights	FY 2017	5 - 6 Years	3
North Olmsted	FY 2010	≥ 7 Years	4
North Randall	FY 2015	≥ 7 Years	4
North Royalton	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
Oakwood	FY 2016	5 - 6 Years	3
Olmsted Falls	FY 2017	3 - 4 Years	2
Olmsted Township	Never Applied	≥ 7 Years	4
Orange	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
Parma	FY 2017	5 - 6 Years	3
Parma Heights	FY 2010	≥ 7 Years	4
Pepper Pike	FY 2018	3 - 4 Years	2
Richmond Heights	FY 2007	≥ 7 Years	4
Rocky River	FY 2021	≤ 2 Years	0
Seven Hills	FY 2016	5 - 6 Years	3

APPLICANT	LAST PY YEAR	YEARS WITH NO FUNDING	POINTS
Shaker Heights	FY 2010	≥ 7 Years	4
Solon	FY 2012	≥ 7 Years	4
South Euclid	FY 2014	≥ 7 Years	4
Strongsville	FY 2018	3 - 4 Years	2
University Heights	FY 2008	≥ 7 Years	4
Valley View	FY 2011	≥ 7 Years	4
Walton Hills	FY 2017	5 - 6 Years	3
Warrensville Heights	FY 2019	3 - 4 Years	2
Westlake	FY 2013	≥ 7 Years	4
Woodmere	FY 2018	3 - 4 Years	2

COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – MAXIMUM 3 POINTS

Points will be awarded in only one category based on the information provided by the applicant.

Table 11

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	POINTS
Infrastructure project is needed to redevelop unutilized or under-utilized parcels into a community asset.	3
Infrastructure project is located in or along an existing commercial district.	2
Neighborhood preservation project.	1
Speculative development project or one that will cause the transfer of jobs from one community to another community.	0

Table 12

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	POINTS
Infrastructure project is required to restore an unutilized, under-utilized, or vacant parcel into an economic development asset – commercial, office, industrial, or manufacturing.	3
Infrastructure project is required for a new economic development asset- office, industrial, or manufacturing.	2
Infrastructure project is needed for a new commercial development on undeveloped land.	1
Speculative development project or one that will cause the transfer of jobs from one community to another community.	0

REGIONAL COLLABORATION – MAXIMUM 2 POINTS

In order to receive points for this criterion the required cooperative agreements indicating the additional subdivision financial participation must be included in the application packet.

Table 13

Is the Applicant Collaborating with other Subdivisions?	POINTS
Yes Collaboration	2
No Collaboration	0

ECONOMIC HEALTH – MAXIMUM 25 POINTS

The most current Per Capita Income (PCI) from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate 2014-2018 will be used to calculate twelve and half (12.5) points of the Economic Health score. The FY 2022 breakpoint is **\$36,000** – rounded up from the median of the 59 Communities.

The percentage of Households Below Poverty Level from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate 2014-2018 will be used to calculate twelve and half (12.5) points. The sum of the two will be the total Economic Health Score.

Table 14

PER CAPITA INCOME	POINTS
< \$20,000	12.5
\$20,000 - \$25,999	10.5
\$26,000 - \$35,999	8.5
\$36,000 - \$45,999	6.5
\$46,000 - \$55,999	4.5
> \$56,000	2.5

Table 15

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	POINTS
> 20%	12.5
16% - 20%	10.5
11% - 15.9%	8.5
5% - 10.9%	6.5
< 5%	4.5

Table 16

APPLICANT	PER CAPITA INCOME	PCI POINTS	% HOUSEHOLDS BELOW POVERTY	% POINTS	TOTAL POINTS
Bay Village	\$54,468	4.5	3.85	4.5	9
Beachwood	\$53,289	4.5	4.96	4.5	9
Bedford	\$25,882	10.5	11.24	8.5	19
Bedford Heights	\$28,130	8.5	18.75	10.5	19
Bentleyville	\$107,639	2.5	2.11	4.5	7
Berea	\$28,674	8.5	11.68	8.5	17
Bratenahl	\$98,298	2.5	10.48	6.5	9
Brecksville	\$50,532	4.5	5.40	6.5	11
Broadview Heights	\$46,893	4.5	2.27	4.5	9
Brook Park	\$25,736	10.5	9.41	8.5	19
Brooklyn	\$27,219	8.5	9.98	6.5	15
Brooklyn Heights	\$33,046	8.5	3.83	4.5	13
Chagrin Falls Township	\$80,997	2.5	0.65	4.5	7
Cleveland	\$20,085	12.5	32.48	12.5	25
Cleveland Heights	\$35,175	8.5	17.35	10.5	19
Cuyahoga County Department of Public Works	\$31,804	8.5	17.9%	10.1	19
Cuyahoga Heights	\$28,868	8.5	9.62	6.5	15
East Cleveland	\$16,119	12.5	40.64	12.5	25
Euclid	\$23,588	10.5	21.92	12.5	23
Fairview Park	\$35,644	8.5	9.81	6.5	15
Garfield Heights	\$22,681	10.5	16.72	10.5	21
Gates Mills	\$101,636	2.5	5.32	6.5	9
Glenwillow	\$31,004	8.5	16.16	10.5	19
Highland Heights	\$51,864	4.5	2.20	4.5	9
Highland Hills	\$17,383	12.5	23.96	12.5	25
Hunting Valley	\$176,381	2.5	1.72	4.5	7
Independence	\$48,978	4.5	2.07	4.5	9
Lakewood	\$34,624	8.5	14.11	8.5	17
Linndale	\$12,124	12.5	45.10	12.5	25
Lyndhurst	\$43,696	6.5	4.69	4.5	11

APPLICANT	PER CAPITA INCOME	PCI POINTS	% HOUSEHOLDS BELOW POVERTY	% POINTS	TOTAL POINTS
Maple Heights	\$21,131	10.5	18.72	10.5	21
Mayfield Heights	\$35,941	8.5	4.51	4.5	13
Mayfield Village	\$48,062	4.5	10.13	6.5	11
Middleburg Heights	\$33,933	8.5	5.95	6.5	8
Moreland Hills	\$98,644	2.5	5.19	4.5	7
Newburgh Heights	\$20,759	10.5	19.40	10.5	21
North Olmsted	\$32,129	8.5	7.48	6.5	15
North Randall	\$23,026	10.5	18.90	10.5	21
North Royalton	\$40,003	6.5	5.34	6.5	13
Oakwood	\$28,123	8.5	22.57	10.5	19
Olmsted Falls	\$36,783	6.5	4.11	4.5	11
Olmsted Township	\$37,924	6.5	4.88	4.5	11
Orange	\$59,767	2.5	4.40	4.5	7
Parma	\$28,414	8.5	8.67	6.5	15
Parma Heights	\$27,221	8.5	9.97	6.5	15
Pepper Pike	\$94,046	2.5	3.85	4.5	7
Richmond Heights	\$30,503	8.5	12.59	8.5	17
Rocky River	\$51,300	4.5	5.26	6.5	11
Seven Hills	\$39,188	6.5	6.02	6.5	13
Shaker Heights	\$57,956	2.5	9.99	6.5	9
Solon	\$50,786	4.5	4.27	4.5	9
South Euclid	\$29,156	8.5	15.23	8.5	17
Strongsville	\$43,978	4.5	4.29	4.5	9
University Heights	\$34,951	8.5	10.24	6.5	17
Valley View	\$39,034	6.5	5.51	6.5	13
Walton Hills	\$38,002	6.5	3.05	4.5	11
Warrensville Heights	\$21,513	10.5	21.61	12.5	23
Westlake	\$49,889	4.5	5.68	6.5	11
Woodmere	\$38,997	6.5	20.60	12.5	17

COORDINATED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS – MAXIMUM 4 POINTS

The DOPWIC will award 1 point for each secondary infrastructure component for a maximum of four (4) points. In order to receive points for this criteria, the secondary infrastructure components must be included in the Engineer’s Estimate.

Table 17

SECONDARY INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS	Points
BRIDGE	1
CULVERT	1
ROAD	1
WATER SUPPLY	1
WASTEWATER	1
STORMWATER	1

GENERATION OF USER FEES – MAXIMUM 5 POINTS

Project Type from page one of the of the OPWC Application for Financial Assistance will be used to determine points.

Table 17

Project Type	Points
BRIDGE	5
CULVERT	5
ROAD	5
WATER SUPPLY	0
WASTEWATER	0
STORMWATER	0

SMALL GOVERNMENT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The OPWC administers a funding assistance program for villages and townships with populations in the unincorporated areas of less than 5,000. Project applications are selected from those not funded through the 19 District committees. Districts may submit seven (7) applications for a “second chance” to obtain a grant, loan, or grant/loan combination.

For the DOPWIC to determine which eligible applications will be the most competitive in the Small Government program; the DOPWIC asks that those applicants include materials with their submissions that the Small Government Commission uses to score its applications. The DOPWIC will review the applications eligible for the Small Government Program utilizing both the DOPWIC methodology, and the OPWC Small Government methodology.

Notes:

1. The DOPWIC Small Government score may not be the same as that calculated by the Small Government Administrator. The DOPWIC score is only used as an indicator as to which applications are likely to be more competitive at the state level.
2. The DOPWIC will not score the following Small Government criteria:
 - Ability and Effort
 - Priority Points
3. In Small Government Readiness to Proceed criterion the Engineer’s Plan Status Certification will be awarded points only for plans completed by the DOPWIC Small Government Subcommittee meeting date, **February 23, 2021**.

Complete and correct supporting documentation must be provided in order to be awarded Small Government points. To ensure accurate Small Government evaluation, complete and submit the OPWC Small Government Program Applicant Checklist along with your application.

For Small Government materials including the Small Government Applicants Manual which details Small Government policies, procedures, and required materials, see the OPWC Small Government website at <http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/smallgovernment>.