About County Planning
To inform and provide services in support of the short and long term comprehensive planning, quality of life, environment, and economic development of Cuyahoga County and its cities, villages and townships.
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Board Structure & Representation

Cuyahoga County Representatives (3):
Mr. Nathan Kelly, Chair
Ms. Sunny Simon, Vice Chair
Ms. Shontel Brown

CPC Board Members by Region (8):

**Westshore:** Honorable Eileen Patton
Mayor, City of Fairview Park

**Southwest:** Honorable Cyril Kleem
Mayor, City of Berea

**South Central:** Honorable Michael P. Byrne
Mayor, City of Parma Heights

**Cuyahoga:** Honorable Michael Procuk
Mayor, Village of Brooklyn Heights

**Cleveland:** Mr. Freddie Collier
Representing Mayor Jackson

**Chagrin/SE:** Honorable Brad Sellers
Mayor, City of Warrensville Heights

**Hillcrest:** Honorable Merle Gorden
Mayor, City of Beachwood

**Heights:** Honorable Susan K. Infeld
Mayor, City of University Heights
2015 Community Master Plans
Cleveland Heights, University Heights, Parma Heights, and Olmsted Falls

Beachwood Survey and Community Master Plan
Master plan covering land use, transportation, and economic development
Countywide Housing Study
Plan to determine housing needs, market demand, and best practices

Place-Based Economic Development Plan
Countywide economic development framework
Parma Town Center Task Force
Plan for building and branding the city center of Parma

GreenPrint
Tool for identifying, preserving, and expanding environmental features
Eastside Greenway TLCI
Multi-modal transportation plan for eastside communities
• Methodology + Process
• Results Report
• Detailed Findings
• Methodology + Process
• Results Report
• Detailed Findings
• Methodology + Process
• **Results Report**
• Detailed Findings
• Methodology + Process
• Results Report
• Detailed Findings
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### Bay Village Survey
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>6,043 Households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed Surveys</td>
<td>1,200 Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned Surveys</td>
<td>576 Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rate</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Error Rate</td>
<td>+/- 3.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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City Qualities
Reasons for Residing in Bay Village

- Close to Lake Erie: 56.0%
- Feel safe in my neighborhood: 55.3%
- Quality school system: 50.3%
- My property is a good…: 38.0%
- For the suburban environment: 29.1%
- Close to family/friends: 25.4%
- Well-maintained neighborhood: 25.4%
- Offers type of housing I want: 21.5%
- Easy access to Downtown…: 15.8%
- High quality municipal services: 15.6%
- Access to highways: 13.3%
- Easy access to city's parks: 11.0%
- Close to work: 9.1%
- Housing costs fit budget: 8.4%
- Close to shopping: 4.9%
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Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

- I would not consider moving: 27.2%
- For lower taxes: 49.9%
- For a different climate: 20.8%
- For a smaller house: 15.1%
- For a retirement friendly community: 13.1%
- For attached condos/clustered homes: 12.6%
- For more home for my money: 12.6%
- For a newer house: 11.2%
- To be closer to family and/or friends: 9.8%
- For a larger house: 8.3%
- To be closer to work/job related: 8.2%
- For better community facilities: 8.2%
- For a more rural environment: 7.1%
- To be able to walk places: 6.7%
- To be closer to Downtown Cleveland: 3.7%
- For a higher quality of municipal services: 3.4%
- For a rental unit: 2.3%
- For better access to shopping: 1.8%
- For less traffic congestion: 1.2%
- For a better school district: 0.9%
- For a safer community: 0.7%
- To have better access to highways: 0.2%
Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

- I would not consider moving: 27.2%
- For lower taxes: 49.9%
- For a different climate: 20.8%
- For a smaller house: 15.1%
- For a retirement friendly community: 13.1%
- For attached condos/clustered homes: 12.6%
- For more home for my money: 12.6%
- For a newer house: 11.2%
- To be closer to family and/or friends: 9.8%
- For a larger house: 8.3%
- To be closer to work/job related: 8.2%
- For better community facilities: 8.2%
- For a more rural environment: 7.1%
- To be able to walk places: 6.7%
- To be closer to Downtown Cleveland: 3.7%
- For a higher quality of municipal services: 3.4%
- For a rental unit: 2.3%
- For better access to shopping: 1.8%
- For less traffic congestion: 1.2%
- For a better school district: 0.9%
- For a safer community: 0.7%
- To have better access to highways: 0.2%
Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

- I would not consider moving: 27.2%
- For lower taxes: 49.9%
- For a different climate: 20.8%
- For a smaller house: 15.1%
- For a retirement friendly community: 13.1%
- For attached condos/clustered homes: 12.6%
- For more home for my money: 12.6%
- For a newer house: 11.2%
- To be closer to family and/or friends: 9.8%
- For a larger house: 8.3%
- To be closer to work/job related: 8.2%
- For better community facilities: 8.2%
- For a more rural environment: 7.1%
- To be able to walk places: 6.7%
- To be closer to Downtown Cleveland: 3.7%
- For a higher quality of municipal services: 3.4%
- For a rental unit: 2.3%
- For better access to shopping: 1.8%
- For less traffic congestion: 1.2%
- For a better school district: 0.9%
- For a safer community: 0.7%
- To have better access to highways: 0.2%
Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

- I would not consider moving: 27.2%
- For lower taxes: 49.9%
- For a different climate: 20.8%
- For a smaller house: 15.1%
- For a retirement friendly community: 13.1%
- For attached condos/clustered homes: 12.6%
- For more home for my money: 12.6%
- For a newer house: 11.2%
- To be closer to family and/or friends: 9.8%
- For a larger house: 8.3%
- To be closer to work/job related: 8.2%
- For better community facilities: 8.2%
- For a more rural environment: 7.1%
- To be able to walk places: 6.7%
- To be closer to Downtown Cleveland: 3.7%
- For a higher quality of municipal services: 3.4%
- For a rental unit: 2.3%
- For better access to shopping: 1.8%
- For less traffic congestion: 1.2%
- For a better school district: 0.9%
- For a safer community: 0.7%
- To have better access to highways: 0.2%
Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

- I would not consider moving: 27.2%
- For lower taxes: 49.9%
- For a different climate: 20.8%
- For a smaller house: 15.1%
- For a retirement friendly community: 13.1%
- For attached condos/clustered homes: 12.6%
- For more home for my money: 12.6%
- For a newer house: 11.2%
- To be closer to family and/or friends: 9.8%
- For a larger house: 8.3%
- To be closer to work/job related: 8.2%
- For better community facilities: 8.2%
- For a more rural environment: 7.1%
- To be able to walk places: 6.7%
- To be closer to Downtown Cleveland: 3.7%
- For a higher quality of municipal services: 3.4%
- For a rental unit: 2.3%
- For better access to shopping: 1.8%
- For less traffic congestion: 1.2%
- For a better school district: 0.9%
- For a safer community: 0.7%
- To have better access to highways: 0.2%
Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

**18 to 44 Year Olds**
- For lower taxes (48.4%)
- For a larger house (27.8%)
- For more home for my money (27.8%)
- To be closer to work/job related (18.3%)
- For a different climate (18.3%)

**45 to 64 Year Olds**
- For lower taxes (54.3%)
- For a different climate (26.7%)
- For a smaller house (14.3%)
- For attached condos/clustered homes (13.6%)
- For retirement friendly community (13.6%)

**65+ Years Old**
- For lower taxes (40.4%)
- For a smaller house (24.0%)
- For retirement friendly community (19.1%)
- For attached condos/clustered homes (16.9%)
- To be closer to family/friends (12.6%)
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## Considerations for Moving from Bay Village

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 18 to 44 Year Olds | • For lower taxes (48.4%)  
                    • For a larger house (27.8%)  
                    • For more home for my money (27.8%)  
                    • To be closer to work/job related (18.3%)  
                    • For a different climate (18.3%) |
| 45 to 64 Year Olds | • For lower taxes (54.3%)  
                    • For a different climate (26.7%)  
                    • For a smaller house (14.3%)  
                    • For attached condos/clustered homes (13.6%)  
                    • For retirement friendly community (13.6%) |
| 65+ Years Old      | • For lower taxes (40.4%)  
                    • For a smaller house (24.0%)  
                    • For retirement friendly community (19.1%)  
                    • For attached condos/clustered homes (16.9%)  
                    • To be closer to family/friends (12.6%) |
Land Use
Opinions on Land Use Statements

Environmentally friendly development is important
- Agree: 26.9%
- Neither: 49.9%
- Disagree: 17.8%

New homes should match existing design
- Agree: 25.3%
- Neither: 35.5%
- Disagree: 22.8%

Maintain and attract retail/service stores
- Agree: 14.3%
- Neither: 37.2%
- Disagree: 24.0%

Focus on mixed-use development
- Agree: 9.0%
- Neither: 35.1%
- Disagree: 17.4%

Allow more townhouses/condos
- Agree: 10.2%
- Neither: 33.2%
- Disagree: 19.7%

Major streets should have decorative elements
- Agree: 10.1%
- Neither: 32.1%
- Disagree: 36.6%

Promote economic development
- Agree: 7.4%
- Neither: 30.6%
- Disagree: 31.5%

Allow more multi-family residential
- Agree: 11.1%
- Neither: 17.6%
- Disagree: 39.9%

Grow its population
- Agree: 29.3%
- Neither: 48.6%
- Disagree: 14.5%
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- Environmentally friendly development is important: 26.9% Agree, 49.9% Neither, 17.8% Disagree
- New homes should match existing design: 25.3% Agree, 35.5% Neither, 22.8% Disagree, 14.0% Disagree
- Maintain and attract retail/service stores: 14.3% Agree, 37.2% Neither, 24.0% Disagree, 18.8% Disagree
- Focus on mixed-use development: 9.0% Agree, 35.1% Neither, 30.7% Disagree, 17.4% Disagree, 7.8% Disagree
- Allow more townhouses/condos: 10.2% Agree, 33.2% Neither, 19.7% Disagree, 23.2% Disagree, 13.6% Disagree
- Major streets should have decorative elements: 10.1% Agree, 32.1% Neither, 36.6% Disagree, 17.7% Disagree
- Promote economic development: 7.4% Agree, 30.6% Neither, 31.5% Disagree, 22.7% Disagree, 7.7% Disagree
- Allow more multi-family residential: 11.1% Agree, 17.6% Neither, 39.9% Disagree, 27.6% Disagree
- Grow its population: 29.3% Agree, 48.6% Neither, 14.5% Disagree
### Opinions on Land Use Statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmentally friendly development is important</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New homes should match existing design</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain and attract retail/service stores</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on mixed-use development</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow more townhouses/condos</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major streets should have decorative elements</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote economic development</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow more multi-family residential</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grow its population</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The percentages represent the distribution of opinions among survey respondents.*
Environmentally friendly development is important
New homes should match existing design
Maintain and attract retail/service stores
Focus on mixed-use development
Allow more townhouses/condos
Major streets should have decorative elements
Promote economic development
Allow more multi-family residential
Grow its population
Opinions on Land Use Statements by Age

New homes should match the scale and design of existing homes:
- 18 to 44 Years Old: 46.7%
- 45 to 64 Years Old: 62.1%
- 65 Years and Older: 69.3%

Major streets should have decorative elements (e.g., gateway signs, lamp posts):
- 18 to 44 Years Old: 55.3%
- 45 to 64 Years Old: 46.6%
- 65 Years and Older: 27.1%

The City should allow more townhouses or condos in appropriate locations:
- 18 to 44 Years Old: 26.2%
- 45 to 64 Years Old: 47.5%
- 65 Years and Older: 50.6%
Opinions on Land Use Statements by Age

18 to 44 Years Old

- New homes should match the scale and design of existing homes: 46.7%
- Major streets should have decorative elements (e.g., gateway signs, lamp posts): 55.3%
- The City should allow more townhouses or condos in appropriate locations: 26.2%

45 to 64 Years Old

- New homes should match the scale and design of existing homes: 62.1%
- Major streets should have decorative elements (e.g., gateway signs, lamp posts): 46.6%
- The City should allow more townhouses or condos in appropriate locations: 47.5%

65 Years and Older

- New homes should match the scale and design of existing homes: 69.3%
- Major streets should have decorative elements (e.g., gateway signs, lamp posts): 27.1%
- The City should allow more townhouses or condos in appropriate locations: 50.6%
Community Events
Opinions on Community Events

- **Lake Erie Nature & Science Center Night**
  - Good: 46.2%
  - Average: 49.4%

- **BAYarts Art Festival**
  - Good: 34.8%
  - Average: 53.4%

- **BAYarts Farm + Art Market**
  - Good: 33.0%
  - Average: 49.5%

- **BAYarts Moondance**
  - Good: 38.7%
  - Average: 43.7%

- **Bay Library Programs**
  - Good: 34.9%
  - Average: 46.6%

- **Annual May in Bay 5K**
  - Good: 30.4%
  - Average: 49.8%

- **Touch-a-Truck**
  - Good: 35.0%
  - Average: 45.1%

- **Summer Fun Night**
  - Good: 25.6%
  - Average: 53.5%

- **Bay Days**
  - Good: 29.2%
  - Average: 48.8%

- **Bay Village Community Christmas**
  - Good: 27.0%
  - Average: 46.5%

- **Cahoon in June**
  - Good: 29.6%
  - Average: 42.7%
## Opinions on Community Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Percent with “No Opinion”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Touch-a-Truck</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual May in Bay 5K</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYarts Moondance</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Village Community Christmas</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Fun Night</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahoon in June</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYarts Farm + Art Market</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Erie Nature &amp; Science Center Night</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYarts Art Festival</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Library Programs</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Days</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Opinions on Community Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Percent with “No Opinion”</th>
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<tr>
<td>Lake Erie Nature &amp; Science Center Night</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYarts Art Festival</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
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<td>Bay Library Programs</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
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Would you like to see additional events?

- Yes: 43.2%
- No: 11.8%
- Not Sure: 45.0%
Would you like to see additional events?

- 18 to 34: 64.1%
- 35 to 44: 57.6%
- 45 to 54: 52.5%
- 55 to 64: 36.8%
- 65 to 74: 38.6%
- 75+: 16.7%
Would you like to see additional events?

- 18 to 34: 64.1%
- 35 to 44: 57.6%
- 45 to 54: 52.5%
- 55 to 64: 36.8%
- 65 to 74: 38.6%
- 75+: 16.7%

Greater than 50%: 18 to 34, 35 to 44, 45 to 54
Less than 40%: 55 to 64, 65 to 74, 75+
Parks and Recreation
Opinions on Parks and Recreation Facilities

- Huntington Reservation (Cleveland Metroparks): 52.4% Good, 40.0% Average, 9.4% Poor
- Bay Village Family Aquatic Center: 39.6% Good, 47.0% Average, 9.4% Poor
- Cahoon Memorial Park: 27.8% Good, 53.4% Average, 16.9% Poor
- Bradley Park: 21.8% Good, 57.5% Average, 19.0% Poor
- Skate and Bike Park: 17.0% Good, 52.6% Average, 21.4% Poor
- Biking and Hiking Trails: 20.3% Good, 48.6% Average, 22.6% Poor
- Dwyer Memorial Senior Center: 15.4% Good, 51.8% Average, 28.6% Poor
- Reese Park (Clague Road): 13.7% Good, 49.3% Average, 34.1% Poor
- Columbia Park: 11.3% Good, 41.1% Average, 36.8% Poor
- Community House: 8.2% Good, 37.0% Average, 42.0% Poor
- Community Gym/Fitness Room: 24.4% Good, 28.9% Average, 25.8% Poor

Bars represent the percentage of people who rate each facility as Good, Average, or Poor.
Opinions on Parks and Recreation Facilities

- Huntington Reservation (Cleveland Metroparks): 52.4% Good, 40.0% Average, 7.6% Poor
- Bay Village Family Aquatic Center: 39.6% Good, 47.0% Average, 9.4% Poor
- Cahoon Memorial Park: 27.8% Good, 53.4% Average, 16.8% Poor
- Bradley Park: 21.8% Good, 57.5% Average, 19.0% Poor
- Skate and Bike Park: 17.0% Good, 52.6% Average, 21.4% Poor
- Biking and Hiking Trails: 20.3% Good, 48.6% Average, 21.4% Poor
- Dwyer Memorial Senior Center: 15.4% Good, 51.8% Average, 28.6% Poor
- Reese Park (Clague Road): 13.7% Good, 49.3% Average, 34.1% Poor
- Columbia Park: 11.3% Good, 41.1% Average, 36.8% Poor
- Community House: 8.2% Good, 37.0% Average, 42.0% Poor
- Community Gym/Fitness Room: 24.4% Good, 28.9% Average, 25.8% Poor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Reservation (Cleveland Metroparks)</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Village Family Aquatic Center</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahoon Memorial Park</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Park</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate and Bike Park</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking and Hiking Trails</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwyer Memorial Senior Center</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reese Park (Clague Road)</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Park</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community House</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Gym/Fitness Room</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Percent with “No Opinion”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwyer Memorial Senior Center</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Gym/Fitness Room</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate and Bike Park</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community House</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Park</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reese Park <em>(Clague Road)</em></td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Village Family Aquatic Center</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Park</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking and Hiking Trails</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahoon Memorial Park</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Reservation <em>(Cleveland Metroparks)</em></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Opinions on Parks and Recreation Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Percent with “No Opinion”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwyer Memorial Senior Center</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Gym/Fitness Room</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate and Bike Park</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community House</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Park</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reese Park (Clague Road)</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Village Family Aquatic Center</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Park</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking and Hiking Trails</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahoon Memorial Park</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Reservation (Cleveland Metroparks)</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ease of Access to Lake Erie

- Excellent: 41.8%
- Good: 41.6%
- Average: 10.5%
- Poor: 5.4%
- Very Poor: 0.7%
Would you like to see a new green space constructed next to City Hall?

- Yes: 29.1%
- No: 25.1%
- Not Sure: 45.8%
Overall Quality of Parks and Recreation Facilities

- Excellent: 27.3%
- Good: 57.7%
- Average: 14.3%
- Poor: 0.5%
- Very Poor: 0.2%
Transportation
Opinions on Ease of Getting Around

- Car: 72.3% Agree, 26.5% Neither, 1.2% Disagree
- Walking: 46.2% Agree, 43.3% Neither, 7.6% Disagree
- Bike: 42.3% Agree, 44.5% Neither, 6.7% Disagree
- Senior Transportation: 12.9% Agree, 24.2% Neither, 56.1% Disagree
- Public Transit: 16.5% Agree, 32.9% Neither, 28.8% Disagree

Opinions on Ease of Getting Around

- **Car**: 72.3% Agree, 26.5% Neither, 0% Disagree
- **Walking**: 46.2% Agree, 43.3% Neither, 7.6% Disagree
- **Bike**: 42.3% Agree, 44.5% Neither, 6.7% Disagree
- **Senior Transportation**: 12.9% Agree, 24.2% Neither, 56.1% Disagree
- **Public Transit**: 16.5% Agree, 32.9% Neither, 28.8% Disagree
Priority for Improvements to Getting Around

- Bike: 20.7% High, 30.3% Average, 23.7% Low, 10.6% Medium, 14.7% Poor
- Walking: 14.4% High, 26.1% Average, 30.3% Low, 11.6% Medium, 17.6% Poor
- Senior Transportation: 13.5% High, 24.5% Average, 32.5% Low, 10.0% Medium, 19.5% Poor
- Public Transit: 9.1% High, 18.2% Average, 33.8% Low, 17.2% Medium, 21.7% Poor
- Car: 9.1% High, 11.4% Average, 26.6% Low, 20.5% Medium, 32.5% Poor
Priority for Improvements to Getting Around

- **Bike**
  - High: 20.7%
  - Average: 30.3%
  - Low: 23.7%
  - Very Low: 10.6%
  - Very Very Low: 14.7%

- **Walking**
  - High: 14.4%
  - Average: 26.1%
  - Low: 30.3%
  - Very Low: 11.6%
  - Very Very Low: 17.6%

- **Senior Transportation**
  - High: 13.5%
  - Average: 24.5%
  - Low: 32.5%
  - Very Low: 10.0%
  - Very Very Low: 19.5%

- **Public Transit**
  - High: 9.1%
  - Average: 18.2%
  - Low: 33.8%
  - Very Low: 17.2%
  - Very Very Low: 21.7%

- **Car**
  - High: 9.1%
  - Average: 11.4%
  - Low: 26.6%
  - Very Low: 20.5%
  - Very Very Low: 32.5%
Priority for Improvements to Getting Around

Bike
- High: 20.7%
- Average: 30.3%
- Low: 14.7%

Walking
- High: 14.4%
- Average: 26.1%
- Low: 17.6%

Senior Transportation
- High: 13.5%
- Average: 24.5%
- Low: 19.5%

Public Transit
- High: 9.1%
- Average: 18.2%
- Low: 21.7%

Car
- High: 9.1%
- Average: 11.4%
- Low: 32.5%
Condition of the City’s Sidewalks

- Excellent: 9.1%
- Good: 45.0%
- Average: 35.6%
- Poor: 9.8%
- Very Poor: 0.5%
City Services
## Opinions on Quality of City Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Excellent (%)</th>
<th>Good (%)</th>
<th>Average (%)</th>
<th>Poor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection/EMS</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park maintenance</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash removal &amp; curbside recycling</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost and brush recycling</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf collection</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic enforcement</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational programs</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow removal</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior transportation services</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street maintenance/repair</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building department</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bay Village website</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree lawn planting</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance enforcement</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk correction program</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water back up/sewer inspection</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart shows the percentage of people expressing good, average, and poor opinions on the quality of various city services. The services are ranked from highest to lowest based on the percentage of excellent ratings.
Opinions on Quality of City Services

- **Fire protection/EMS**: 59.0% Good, 37.8% Average, 3.2% Poor
- **Police protection**: 53.2% Good, 40.7% Average, 6.1% Poor
- **Park maintenance**: 32.7% Good, 56.6% Average, 9.7% Poor
- **Trash removal & curbside recycling**: 36.9% Good, 49.3% Average, 11.5% Poor
- **Compost and brush recycling**: 33.3% Good, 49.5% Average, 13.2% Poor
- **Leaf collection**: 35.1% Good, 46.1% Average, 14.2% Poor
- **Traffic enforcement**: 28.6% Good, 48.1% Average, 18.7% Poor
- **Recreational programs**: 20.8% Good, 54.5% Average, 21.7% Poor
- **Snow removal**: 24.4% Good, 47.8% Average, 22.6% Poor
- **Senior transportation services**: 16.7% Good, 46.5% Average, 25.4% Poor
- **Street maintenance/repair**: 11.3% Good, 42.7% Average, 34.9% Poor
- **Building department**: 11.9% Good, 40.4% Average, 37.9% Poor
- **City of Bay Village website**: 10.6% Good, 40.0% Average, 41.8% Poor
- **Tree lawn planting**: 12.6% Good, 35.0% Average, 33.2% Poor
- **Property maintenance enforcement**: 7.1% Good, 37.9% Average, 35.7% Poor
- **Sidewalk correction program**: 8.3% Good, 31.6% Average, 38.6% Poor
- **Water back up/sewer inspection**: 8.1% Good, 24.0% Average, 31.7% Poor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection/EMS</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park maintenance</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash removal &amp; curbside recycling</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost and brush recycling</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf collection</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic enforcement</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational programs</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow removal</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior transportation services</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street maintenance/repair</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building department</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bay Village website</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree lawn planting</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance enforcement</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk correction program</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water back up/sewer inspection</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Opinions on Quality of City Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection/EMS</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park maintenance</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash removal &amp; curbside recycling</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost and brush recycling</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf collection</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic enforcement</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational programs</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow removal</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior transportation services</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street maintenance/repair</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building department</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bay Village website</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree lawn planting</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance enforcement</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk correction program</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water back up/sewer inspection</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Opinions on Importance of City Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection/EMS</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash removal &amp; curbside recycling</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow removal</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street maintenance/repair</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf collection</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park maintenance</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water back up/sewer inspection</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost and brush recycling</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational programs</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building department</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk correction program</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance enforcement</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic enforcement</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior transportation services</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree lawn planting</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bay Village website</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Opinions on Importance of City Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire protection/EMS</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police protection</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash removal &amp; curbside recycling</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow removal</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street maintenance/repair</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf collection</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park maintenance</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water back up/sewer inspection</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost and brush recycling</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational programs</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building department</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk correction program</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance enforcement</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic enforcement</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior transportation services</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree lawn planting</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bay Village website</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Matrix on Importance and Quality

- Increasing importance
- Increasing quality

- Categories:
  - City Services
  - City of Bay Village website
  - Tree lawn planting
  - Senior transportation services
  - Fire protection/EMS
  - Police protection
  - Trash removal & curbside recycling
  - Compost and brush recycling
  - Recreational programs
  - Leaf collection
  - Traffic enforcement
  - Building department
  - Property maintenance enforcement
  - Snow removal
  - Sidewalk correction program
  - Street maintenance/repair
  - Water back up/sewer inspection

- Quality average
Matrix on Importance and Quality

Average quality
Matrix on Importance and Quality

- Fire protection/EMS
- Police protection
- Park maintenance
- Trash removal & curbside recycling
- Compost and brush recycling
- Leaf collection
- Recreational programs
- Snow removal
- Street maintenance/repair
- Water back up/sewer inspection

- City of Bay Village website
- Senior transportation services
- Building department
- Property maintenance enforcement
- Sidewalk correction program
- Tree lawn planting
- Traffic enforcement

Average importance

Importance of Services: Less Important to More Important
Above average quality, above average importance
Matrix on Importance and Quality

Below average quality, above average importance
Matrix on Importance and Quality

Below average quality, below average importance
Matrix on Importance and Quality

Above average quality, below average importance
Change in Overall Quality of City Services

- Greatly Improved: 3.5%
- Somewhat Improved: 33.0%
- Stayed the Same: 51.8%
- Somewhat Declined: 10.7%
- Greatly Declined: 0.9%
Housing
## Priority for Types of Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain existing housing</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for seniors</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More single-family detached</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More walkable options</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More townhouses/condos</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for young people</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More infill development</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More apartments/mixed-use</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing Priority for Types of Housing
### Priority for Types of Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Housing</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain existing housing</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for seniors</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More single-family detached</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More walkable options</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More townhouses/condos</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for young people</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More infill development</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More apartments/mixed-use</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart above illustrates the preferences for different types of housing, with the percentage distribution showing the priority levels: High, Average, and Low.
## Priority for Types of Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Housing</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain existing housing</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for seniors</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More single-family detached</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More walkable options</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More townhouses/condos</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options for young people</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More infill development</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More apartments/mixed-use</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maintain existing housing

More options for seniors

More single-family detached

More walkable options

More townhouses/condos

More options for young people

More infill development

More apartments/mixed-use

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

High Average Low
More housing options for seniors looking to remain in the City

- 18 to 44 Years Old: 19.5%
- 45 to 64 Years Old: 46.2%
- 65 Years and Older: 70.6%

More well-designed single-family, detached homes

- 18 to 44 Years Old: 58.5%
- 45 to 64 Years Old: 45.2%
- 65 Years and Older: 38.0%
Priority for Types of Housing

18 to 44 Years Old
- More housing options for seniors looking to remain in the City: 19.5%

45 to 64 Years Old
- More well-designed single-family, detached homes: 58.5%

65 Years and Older
- More housing options for seniors looking to remain in the City: 70.6%
Priority for Types of Housing

18 to 44 Years Old
- More housing options within walking distance to amenities: 25.6%, 34.4%, 37.4%

45 to 64 Years Old
- More well-designed townhouses/condos: 16.3%, 35.3%, 38.4%

65 Years and Older
- More well-designed apartments/mixed-use development: 6.5%, 15.3%, 17.3%
Priority for Types of Housing

- More housing options within walking distance to amenities:
  - 18 to 44 Years Old: 25.6%
  - 45 to 64 Years Old: 34.4%
  - 65 Years and Older: 37.4%

- More well-designed townhouses/condos:
  - 18 to 44 Years Old: 16.3%
  - 45 to 64 Years Old: 35.3%
  - 65 Years and Older: 38.4%

- More well-designed apartments/mixed-use development:
  - 18 to 44 Years Old: 6.5%
  - 45 to 64 Years Old: 15.3%
  - 65 Years and Older: 17.3%
Since residing in Bay Village, has your basement flooded?

- Yes: 46.1%
- No: 41.2%
- No Basement: 12.3%
- Not Sure: 0.5%
If so, how many times has it flooded?

- Rarely: 45.9%
- Once every few years: 36.0%
- Once a year: 9.5%
- Once every six (6) months: 5.7%
- Once a month: 2.8%
Important Issues
Opinions on Importance of Issues

- Ensuring public safety: 82.0% Very Important, 16.4% Important, 1.6% Somewhat Important, 0.0% Not Important
- Improving the sewer systems: 52.3% Very Important, 37.2% Important, 9.4% Somewhat Important, 0.0% Not Important
- Preserving open space/green space: 50.2% Very Important, 38.1% Important, 9.1% Somewhat Important, 0.0% Not Important
- Protecting the environment: 51.0% Very Important, 33.1% Important, 13.1% Somewhat Important, 0.0% Not Important
- Improving roads: 33.0% Very Important, 50.4% Important, 15.4% Somewhat Important, 0.0% Not Important
- Enhancing the sense of community: 39.0% Very Important, 41.7% Important, 16.2% Somewhat Important, 3.1% Not Important
- Improving sidewalks: 30.1% Very Important, 47.1% Important, 20.9% Somewhat Important, 1.9% Not Important
- Being able to safely bike to amenities: 39.9% Very Important, 36.9% Important, 16.3% Somewhat Important, 6.9% Not Important
- Providing recreational programs: 27.6% Very Important, 44.2% Important, 23.9% Somewhat Important, 4.3% Not Important
- Enforcing home maintenance ordinances: 28.5% Very Important, 41.0% Important, 26.0% Somewhat Important, 4.5% Not Important
- Providing housing/services for seniors: 18.0% Very Important, 36.4% Important, 35.1% Somewhat Important, 10.5% Not Important
- Attracting new retail/services: 15.0% Very Important, 32.7% Important, 31.6% Somewhat Important, 20.7% Not Important
- Improving traffic flow: 12.7% Very Important, 31.5% Important, 39.9% Somewhat Important, 15.9% Not Important
- Improving public transit: 11.7% Very Important, 29.1% Important, 38.4% Somewhat Important, 20.8% Not Important
- Having a diverse community: 14.1% Very Important, 26.5% Important, 34.3% Somewhat Important, 25.0% Not Important
- Attracting jobs to Bay Village: 11.5% Very Important, 27.1% Important, 30.3% Somewhat Important, 31.2% Not Important
## Opinions on Importance of Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring public safety</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the sewer systems</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving open space/green space</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting the environment</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving roads</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing the sense of community</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving sidewalks</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to safely bike to amenities</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing recreational programs</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcing home maintenance ordinances</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing housing/services for seniors</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting new retail/services</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving traffic flow</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving public transit</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a diverse community</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting jobs to Bay Village</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td>Very Important</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Somewhat Important</td>
<td>Not Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring public safety</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the sewer systems</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving open space/green space</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting the environment</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving roads</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing the sense of community</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving sidewalks</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to safely bike to amenities</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>16.3% 6.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing recreational programs</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcing home maintenance ordinances</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing housing/services for seniors</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>35.1% 10.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting new retail/services</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>31.6% 20.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving traffic flow</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>39.9% 15.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving public transit</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>38.4% 20.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a diverse community</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>34.3% 25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting jobs to Bay Village</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>30.3% 31.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opinions on Importance of Issues

- Ensuring public safety: 82.0% Very Important, 16.4% Important
- Improving the sewer systems: 52.3% Somewhat Important, 9.4% Not Important
- Preserving open space/green space: 50.2% Very Important, 38.1% Important, 9.1% Not Important
- Protecting the environment: 51.0% Very Important, 33.1% Important, 13.1% Not Important
- Improving roads: 33.0% Not Important, 50.4% Somewhat Important, 15.4% Important
- Enhancing the sense of community: 39.0% Somewhat Important, 41.7% Important, 16.2% Not Important
- Improving sidewalks: 30.1% Not Important, 47.1% Somewhat Important, 20.9% Important
- Being able to safely bike to amenities: 39.9% Somewhat Important, 36.9% Important, 16.3% Not Important, 6.9% Not Important
- Providing recreational programs: 27.6% Not Important, 44.2% Somewhat Important, 23.9% Important
- Enforcing home maintenance ordinances: 28.5% Not Important, 41.0% Somewhat Important, 26.0% Important
- Providing housing/services for seniors: 18.0% Not Important, 36.4% Somewhat Important, 35.1% Important, 10.5% Important
- Attracting new retail/services: 15.0% Not Important, 32.7% Somewhat Important, 31.6% Important, 20.7% Important
- Improving traffic flow: 12.7% Not Important, 31.5% Somewhat Important, 39.9% Important, 15.9% Important
- Improving public transit: 11.7% Not Important, 29.1% Somewhat Important, 38.4% Important, 20.8% Important
- Having a diverse community: 14.1% Not Important, 26.5% Somewhat Important, 34.3% Important, 25.0% Important
- Attracting jobs to Bay Village: 11.5% Not Important, 27.1% Somewhat Important, 30.3% Important, 31.2% Important
Opinions on Importance of Issues

Ensuring public safety
- 82.0% Very Important
- 16.4% Important
- 1.6% Somewhat Important
- 0.0% Not Important

Improving the sewer systems
- 52.3% Very Important
- 37.2% Important
- 9.4% Somewhat Important
- 0.0% Not Important

Preserving open space/green space
- 50.2% Very Important
- 38.1% Important
- 9.1% Somewhat Important
- 2.6% Not Important

Protecting the environment
- 51.0% Very Important
- 33.1% Important
- 13.1% Somewhat Important
- 2.8% Not Important

Improving roads
- 33.0% Very Important
- 50.4% Important
- 15.4% Somewhat Important
- 1.2% Not Important

Enhancing the sense of community
- 39.0% Very Important
- 41.7% Important
- 16.2% Somewhat Important
- 3.1% Not Important

Improving sidewalks
- 30.1% Very Important
- 47.1% Important
- 20.9% Somewhat Important
- 1.9% Not Important

Being able to safely bike to amenities
- 39.9% Very Important
- 36.9% Important
- 16.3% Somewhat Important
- 6.9% Not Important

Providing recreational programs
- 27.6% Very Important
- 44.2% Important
- 23.9% Somewhat Important
- 4.3% Not Important

Enforcing home maintenance ordinances
- 28.5% Very Important
- 41.0% Important
- 26.0% Somewhat Important
- 15.5% Not Important

Providing housing/services for seniors
- 18.0% Very Important
- 36.4% Important
- 35.1% Somewhat Important
- 10.5% Not Important

Attracting new retail/services
- 15.0% Very Important
- 32.7% Important
- 31.6% Somewhat Important
- 20.7% Not Important

Improving traffic flow
- 12.7% Very Important
- 31.5% Important
- 39.9% Somewhat Important
- 15.9% Not Important

Improving public transit
- 11.7% Very Important
- 29.1% Important
- 38.4% Somewhat Important
- 20.8% Not Important

Having a diverse community
- 14.1% Very Important
- 26.5% Important
- 34.3% Somewhat Important
- 25.0% Not Important

Attracting jobs to Bay Village
- 11.5% Very Important
- 27.1% Important
- 30.3% Somewhat Important
- 31.2% Not Important

Very Important          Important          Somewhat Important          Not Important
Opinions on Current Effectiveness

- Ensuring public safety: 46.4% Good, 44.6% Average, 8.6% Poor
- Protecting the environment: 15.4% Good, 52.3% Average, 29.4% Poor
- Preserving open space/green space: 18.1% Good, 48.8% Average, 28.5% Poor
- Enhancing the sense of community: 19.7% Good, 45.7% Average, 30.8% Poor
- Providing recreational programs: 18.1% Good, 44.0% Average, 33.8% Poor
- Improving roads: 7.2% Good, 45.0% Average, 39.8% Poor
- Being able to safely bike to amenities: 9.6% Good, 38.2% Average, 41.8% Poor
- Improving sidewalks: 6.2% Good, 34.5% Average, 46.5% Poor
- Improving traffic flow: 31.5% Good, 58.1% Average
- Enforcing home maintenance ordinances: 30.5% Good, 48.3% Average, 13.2% Poor
- Providing housing/services for seniors: 21.8% Good, 56.7% Average, 16.5% Poor
- Having a diverse community: 18.2% Good, 56.1% Average, 15.2% Poor
- Improving the sewer systems: 17.6% Good, 42.1% Average, 21.1% Poor
- Improving public transit: 15.4% Good, 65.6% Average, 14.2% Poor
- Attracting new retail/services: 13.3% Good, 61.3% Average, 20.7% Poor
- Attracting jobs to Bay Village: 10.1% Good, 65.9% Average, 19.6% Poor

Important Issues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Important Issues</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring public safety</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting the environment</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving open space/green space</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing the sense of community</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing recreational programs</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving roads</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to safely bike to amenities</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving sidewalks</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving traffic flow</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcing home maintenance ordinances</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing housing/services for seniors</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a diverse community</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the sewer systems</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving public transit</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting new retail/services</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting jobs to Bay Village</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opinions on Current Effectiveness

- Ensuring public safety: Good 46.4%, Average 44.6%, Poor 8.6%
- Protecting the environment: Good 15.4%, Average 52.3%, Poor 29.4%
- Preserving open space/green space: Good 18.1%, Average 48.8%, Poor 28.5%
- Enhancing the sense of community: Good 19.7%, Average 45.7%, Poor 30.8%
- Providing recreational programs: Good 18.1%, Average 44.0%, Poor 33.8%
- Improving roads: Good 7.2%, Average 45.0%, Poor 39.8%
- Being able to safely bike to amenities: Good 9.6%, Average 38.2%, Poor 41.8%
- Improving sidewalks: Good 6.2%, Average 34.5%, Poor 46.5%
- Improving traffic flow: Good 31.5%, Average 58.1%
- Enforcing home maintenance ordinances: Good 30.5%, Average 48.3%, Poor 13.2%
- Providing housing/services for seniors: Good 21.8%, Average 56.7%, Poor 16.5%
- Having a diverse community: Good 18.2%, Average 56.1%, Poor 15.2%
- Improving the sewer systems: Good 17.6%, Average 42.1%, Poor 21.1%
- Improving public transit: Good 15.4%, Average 65.6%, Poor 14.2%
- Attracting new retail/services: Good 13.3%, Average 61.3%, Poor 20.7%
- Attracting jobs to Bay Village: Good 10.1%, Average 65.9%, Poor 19.6%
Opinions on Current Effectiveness

- Ensuring public safety: 46.4% Good, 44.6% Average, 8.6% Poor
- Protecting the environment: 15.4% Good, 52.3% Average, 29.4% Poor
- Preserving open space/green space: 18.1% Good, 48.8% Average, 28.5% Poor
- Enhancing the sense of community: 19.7% Good, 45.7% Average, 30.8% Poor
- Providing recreational programs: 18.1% Good, 44.0% Average, 33.8% Poor
- Improving roads: 7.2% Good, 45.0% Average, 39.8% Poor
- Being able to safely bike to amenities: 9.6% Good, 38.2% Average, 41.8% Poor
- Improving sidewalks: 6.2% Good, 34.5% Average, 46.5% Poor
- Improving traffic flow: 6.2% Good, 34.5% Average, 58.1% Poor
- Enforcing home maintenance ordinances: 30.5% Good, 48.3% Average, 13.2% Poor
- Providing housing/services for seniors: 21.8% Good, 56.7% Average, 16.5% Poor
- Having a diverse community: 18.2% Good, 56.1% Average, 15.2% Poor
- Improving the sewer systems: 17.6% Good, 42.1% Average, 21.1% Poor
- Improving public transit: 15.4% Good, 65.6% Average, 14.2% Poor
- Attracting new retail/services: 13.3% Good, 61.3% Average, 20.7% Poor
- Attracting jobs to Bay Village: 10.1% Good, 65.9% Average, 19.6% Poor

Important Issues
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance

Average effectiveness

Increasing effectiveness

Increasing importance

Important Issues
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance

- Above average effectiveness, above average importance
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance

Below average effectiveness, above average importance
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance

Below average effectiveness, below average importance
Matrix of Effectiveness and Importance

Above average effectiveness, below average importance
Quality of Life
Overall Quality of Life

- Excellent: 50.8%
- Good: 45.5%
- Average: 3.5%
- Poor: 0.2%
Comments
Most Frequent Comments

• Parks and Recreation Facilities
  – Variety of park changes
  – Concerns about Cahoon Park

• Flooding and Sewer System
  – Roadway and basement flooding

• Animal Control
  – Deer population
  – Animal control officer
Most Frequent Comments

• Housing
  – Fix housing that is deteriorating
  – Issues concerning infill housing

• Transportation
  – New crosswalks
  – Safer paths to school
  – Removal of snow
Demographics
Age of Respondents

- 18 to 34: 7.1%
- 35 to 44: 15.2%
- 45 to 54: 21.5%
- 55 to 64: 24.0%
- 65 to 74: 19.6%
- 75+ years: 12.7%

2015 Survey
Length of Residency

- Under 2 years: 4.9%
- 2-5 years: 9.5%
- 6-10 years: 11.2%
- 11-20 years: 20.9%
- 21-30 years: 21.1%
- More than 30 years: 32.3%
Planned Length of Residency

- Under 2 years: 6.0%
- 2-5 years: 11.6%
- 6-10 years: 15.2%
- 11-20 years: 16.3%
- 21-30 years: 4.9%
- More than 30 years: 1.8%

I do not intend to move out of Bay Village: 44.2%
Tenure of Respondents

- Homeowner: 97.4%
- Renter: 2.6%
Planned Tenure of Respondents

- Owning: 94.6%
- Renting: 5.4%
Ages of Respondent Household Members

- 0 to 17: 24.1%
- 18 to 24: 8.3%
- 25 to 34: 6.7%
- 35 to 44: 9.6%
- 45 to 54: 15.4%
- 55 to 64: 15.9%
- 65 to 74: 13.1%
- 75+ years: 6.9%

2015 Survey
Major Themes
Proximity to Lake Erie, safety, and schools are the top reasons people choose to live in Bay Village.
• Regardless of age, the prime reason residents would consider moving is for a community with lower taxes.

• Young people are more likely to move for a larger house, while seniors are more likely to move for a smaller house.
More than half of respondents want the City to focus on **environmentally friendly development**, want **new homes to match existing design**, and want to **maintain and attract retail and service stores**.
More than half of respondents do *not* want more multi-family residential

Growing the City’s population is not *important* to respondents
• Younger respondents and households with younger respondents want more community events
• The City’s **parks are rated very highly**

• There is **potential to improve the Community House and Fitness Room**
Respondents would like to see improvements to the City’s sidewalks and bikeways
• Improvements to street maintenance and water back up/sewer inspection are key areas of importance.
• Overall, respondents rate the City’s services very highly
• Overwhelmingly, respondents want to maintain existing housing and neighborhoods
The City’s seniors would like more housing options—and want a range of townhouses, mixed-use buildings, single-family homes, and walkable neighborhoods.
• Improving the sewer system, enforcing home maintenance ordinances, and improving sidewalks are key areas for respondents.
• Quality of life in Bay Village is rated very highly across the board
• Methodology + Process
• Results Report
• Detailed Findings
Thank you!
Questions?
County Planning

FOR OUR COMMUNITY
FOR OUR REGION
FOR OUR FUTURE